# OECD ECONOMIC SURVEYS BRAZIL

## ISSN 0376-6438, e-ISSN 1609-7513 February 2018

### Consulta en línea desde MEYSS

Basic statistics of Brazil, 2016	8
Executive summary	9
Sustaining inclusive growth with further significant reforms  Stronger investment and productivity are key for future growth  Brazil can seize greater benefits from greater global and regional integration	10
Assessment and recommendations	13
The economy is gradually emerging from the recession Inflation has declined but financial intermediation could be improved. Fiscal outcomes need to improve to ensure the sustainability of public debt. Improving governance and reducing corruption. Raising investment is a key policy priority. Supporting the integration with the region and the world economy. Green growth challenges. Bibliography	25 28 42 43 49 57
Thematic chapters	67
Chapter 1. Raising investment and improving infrastructure	69
Stronger investment is a key requisite for solid growth	73 78 94 96 .103
Chapter 2. Fostering Brazil's integration into the world economy	121
Brazil is missing out on the opportunities arising from international trade Trade barriers have significant economic effects Seizing the opportunities of the global economy Policy options to strengthen integration Making trade work for all Brazilians Bibliography	131 140 148 150
Tables	
Table 1. Expected gains from structural reform are substantial	23

Table 4. Expected possible savings from improving the efficiency of public expenditures	41
Table 5. Past OECD recommendations on macroeconomic policies	
Table 6. Past OECD recommendations on improving the investment climate	57
Table 7. Past OECD recommendations on green growth	
Table 2.1. The structure of exports and imports	128
F2	
Figures	
Figure 1. Well-being indicators	14
Figure 2. The economy is recovering and productivity growth has slowed down	15
Figure 3. GDP growth could be much stronger with more ambitious structural reforms	20
Figure 4. After a deep recession, the economy is recovering	21
Figure 5. Inflation has come down, the exchange rate has depreciated	22
Figure 6. Financial markets contain risks, but these appear manageable	24
Figure 7. External debt has risen but currency reserves are high	
Figure 8. Inflation and core inflation have eased, while expectations converge towards the target	
Figure 9. Monetary policy has responded to declining inflationary pressures	
Figure 10. Fiscal outcomes have deteriorated sharply	
Figure 11. Investment is closely following domestic savings	
Figure 12. Public debt levels are middle-range but interest expenditures are high	
Figure 13. Public debt trajectory	
Figure 14. Main functional areas of public expenditure	
Figure 15. Poverty is relatively high for young people	
Figure 16. Different benefits reach people at different income levels	
Figure 17. Pension reform is urgent	
Figure 18. Potential gains from greater spending efficiency on health	
Figure 19. High expenditures in education coincide with weak outcomes	
Figure 20. Compensation of general government employees	
Figure 21. After years of decline, investment is low in international comparison	
Figure 22. Infrastructure quality is low	
Figure 23. Hours required to prepare taxes	
Figure 24. Regulatory barriers to entrepreneurship are high	
Figure 25. Exposure to trade and participation in global value chains are low	
Figure 26. Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains	
Figure 27. Trade barriers are high, especially in capital goods	
Figure 28. The potential consumer benefits from lower trade barriers are highly progressive	
Figure 29. Export performance has been weak	
Figure 30. Active labour market policies are not focused on training and labour market services	
Figure 31. Women and youths have lower labour market attachment	
Figure 32. Net greenhouse gas emissions by sector of origin	
Figure 34. Taxes on fossil fuel are low in international comparison.	
Figure 35. Green growth indicators	
Figure 1.1. The economy's growth potential has declined	
Figure 1.2. Income gaps with OECD countries remain large due to low productivity	
Figure 1.3. The investment rate is low in international comparison	
Figure 1.4. Infrastructure quality is low	
Figure 1.5. Productivity is low in international comparison	74
Figure 1.6. Saving is low and has declined	
0	

Figure 1.8. Brazil attracts less direct investment than other countries in the region 77 Figure 1.10. Regulatory barriers to entrepreneurship are high 78 Figure 1.11. Ease of starting a business 79 Figure 1.12. The court system is slow to resolve commercial disputes 83 Figure 1.13. Insolvencies are slow and recovery rates low 84 Figure 1.14. Hours required to prepare taxes 85 Figure 1.15. Unit labour costs have risen 88 Figure 1.16. Minimum wages are high in international comparison 88 Figure 1.17. Skill gaps are significant 99 Figure 1.18. Many firms struggle to fill jobs. 90 Figure 1.19. The state of Ceará has made substantial progress in education quality 92 Figure 1.20. The share of students in vocational and technical programmes is low 93 Figure 1.21. Investment in infrastructure is low. 97 Figure 1.22. Density of pawed road network by country 98 Figure 1.23. Mobile telecom services are relatively expensive 103 Figure 1.24. Real lending rates are extremely high 194 Figure 1.25. Investment has been inversely correlated with ex-ante real interest rates 104 Figure 1.26. BNDES disbursements and credit subsidies remain high 105 Figure 2.1 Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined 123 Figure 2.2 Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal 124 Figure 2.3 Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains 125 Figure 2.5. The share of imported inputs is low. 127 Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen 127 Figure 2.7. The share for imported inputs is low. 127 Figure 2.8 Brazil's participation in flood GVCs is small 157 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not agained new markets for its exports has diminished 129 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not agained new markets for its exports has diminished 136 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years 131 Figure 2.10. Tracil from the received inputs is low 127 Figure 2.10. Brazil share of imported inputs is low 127 Figure 2.11. Tariffs barriers are high 137 Figure 2.12. Tracil for intermediate and capital products	Figure 1.7.Private sector assets under management	
Figure 1.10. Regulatory barriers to entrepreneurship are high Figure 1.11. Ease of starting a business Figure 1.12. The court system is slow to resolve commercial disputes  83 Figure 1.13. Insolvencies are slow and recovery rates low  84 Figure 1.14. Hours required to prepare taxes  85 Figure 1.15. Unit labour costs have risen  88 Figure 1.16. Minimum wages are high in international comparison  89 Figure 1.17. Skill gaps are significant  90 Figure 1.18. Many firms struggle to fill jobs  Figure 1.19. The state of Ceará has made substantial progress in education quality  92 Figure 1.20. The share of students in vocational and technical programmes is low  93 Figure 1.21. Investment in infrastructure is low.  97 Figure 1.22. Density of paved road network by country  98 Figure 1.23. Mobile telecom services are relatively expensive  103 Figure 1.24. Real lending rates are extremely high Figure 1.25. Investment has been inversely correlated with ex-ante real interest rates  104 Figure 1.26. BNDES disbursements and credit subsidies remain high Figure 1.27. Infrastructure finance is dominated by public banks, in particular BNDES  107 Figure 2.1 Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined  123 Figure 2.2 Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal  124 Figure 2.3 Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains  125 Figure 2.4. Prices are relatively high  126 Figure 2.5. The share of imported inputs is low.  127 Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen  129 Figure 2.7. The share of processed agriculture and good exports has diminished  129 Figure 2.8. Brazil' sparticipation in food GVCs is small  130 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years  131 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years  131 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years  131 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years  131 Figure 2.11. Exposure use a large use of non-tari	Figure 1.8. Brazil attracts less direct investment than other countries in the region	77
Figure 1.11. Ease of starting a business	Figure 1.9. FDI restrictions are low compared to OECD countries	77
Figure 1.12. The court system is slow to resolve commercial disputes Figure 1.13. Insolvencies are slow and recovery rates low	Figure 1.10. Regulatory barriers to entrepreneurship are high	78
Figure 1.13. Insolvencies are slow and recovery rates low.  Figure 1.14. Hours required to prepare taxes.  Figure 1.15. Unit labour costs have risen.  88 Figure 1.16. Minimum wages are high in international comparison.  89 Figure 1.17. Skill gaps are significant.  90 Figure 1.18. Many firms struggle to fill jobs.  90 Figure 1.19. The state of Ceará has made substantial progress in education quality.  92 Figure 1.20. The share of students in vocational and technical programmes is low.  93 Figure 1.21. Investment in infrastructure is low.  97 Figure 1.22. Density of paved road network by country.  98 Figure 1.23. Mobile telecom services are relatively expensive.  103 Figure 1.24. Real lending rates are extremely high.  104 Figure 1.25. Investment has been inversely correlated with ex-ante real interest rates.  104 Figure 1.26. BNDES disbursements and credit subsidies remain high.  105 Figure 1.27. Infrastructure finance is dominated by public banks, in particular BNDES.  107 Figure 2.1. Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined.  123 Figure 2.2. Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal.  124 Figure 2.3. Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains.  125 Figure 2.4. Prices are relatively high.  126 Figure 2.5. The share of imported inputs is low.  127 Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen.  128 Figure 2.7. The share of imported inputs is low.  129 Figure 2.8. Brazil's participation in food GVCs is small.  130 Figure 2.9. China is Brazil's main trading partner.  131 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years.  132 Figure 2.11. Tariffs barriers are high.  133 Figure 2.12. In a brazil's main trading partner.  134 Figure 2.13. Exactive this harriers are laby.  135 Figure 2.14. Brazil's main trading partner.  136 Figure 2.15. The share of processed agriculture and good exports has diminished.  129 Figure 2.10. Email has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years.  131 Figure 2.10. Email has not gained new markets for	Figure 1.11. Ease of starting a business	79
Figure 1.14. Hours required to prepare taxes  Figure 1.15. Unit labour costs have risen  Figure 1.16. Minimum wages are high in international comparison  89  Figure 1.17. Skill gaps are significant  90  Figure 1.18. Many firms struggle to fill jobs  Figure 1.19. The state of Cearâ has made substantial progress in education quality  92  Figure 1.20. The share of students in vocational and technical programmes is low  93  Figure 1.21. Investment in infrastructure is low.  97  Figure 1.22. Density of paved road network by country.  98  Figure 1.23. Mobile telecom services are relatively expensive.  103  Figure 1.24. Real lending rates are extremely high.  104  Figure 1.25. Investment has been inversely correlated with ex-ante real interest rates.  104  Figure 1.26. BNDES disbursements and credit subsidies remain high.  105  Figure 2.1. Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined.  213  Figure 2.2. Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal.  124  Figure 2.3. Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains.  125  Figure 2.4. Prices are relatively high.  126  Figure 2.5. The share of imported inputs is low.  127  Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen.  128  Figure 2.7. The share of processed agriculture and good exports has diminished.  129  Figure 2.8. Brazil's participation in food GVCs is small.  130  Figure 2.1. Tariffs barriers are high.  131  Figure 2.1. Tariff to intermediate and capital products are very high.  134  Figure 2.1. Tariff to intermediate and capital products are very high.  135  Figure 2.1. Tariff barriers are high.  Figure 2.1. Exposure with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs.  136  Figure 2.1. Tariff to intermediate and capital products are very high.  137  Figure 2.1. Tariff barriers are high.  Figure 2.1. Exposure with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs.  137  Figure 2.1. Tariff to intermediate and capital products are very high.  138  Figure 2.1. Excent with high tariffs are also hampered	Figure 1.12. The court system is slow to resolve commercial disputes	83
Figure 1.15. Unit labour costs have risen Figure 1.16. Minimum wages are high in international comparison 89 Figure 1.17. Skill gaps are significant 90 Figure 1.18. Many firms struggle to fill jobs 90 Figure 1.19. The state of Ceará has made substantial progress in education quality 92 Figure 1.20. The share of students in vocational and technical programmes is low 93 Figure 1.21. Investment in infrastructure is low. 97 Figure 1.22. Density of paved road network by country 98 Figure 1.23. Mobile telecom services are relatively expensive. 98 Figure 1.24. Real lending rates are extremely high 99 Figure 1.25. Investment has been inversely correlated with ex-ante real interest rates 90 Figure 1.26. BNDES disbursements and credit subsidies remain high 90 Figure 1.27. Infrastructure finance is dominated by public banks, in particular BNDES 91 Figure 2.1. Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined 92 Figure 2.3. Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal 93 Figure 2.4. Prices are relatively high 94 Figure 2.5. The share of imported inputs is low. 95 Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen 95 Figure 2.7. The share of processed agriculture and good exports has diminished 96 Figure 2.9. China is Brazil's main trading partner 97 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years 98 Figure 2.11. Tariffs barriers are high. 98 Figure 2.12. Traiff to intermediate and capital products are very high 99 Figure 2.13. Sectors with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs. 91 Figure 2.14. Brazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers. 93 Figure 2.15. Local content rules are relatively abundant in Brazil 96 Figure 2.16. The number of antidumping measures in effect in Brazil is relatively large 97 Figure 2.17. The cost to export is high 98 Figure 2.18. Trade facilitation procedures could improve further. 98 Figure 2.19. Fazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers. 91 Figure 2.19. The cost to export is high 97 Figure 2.20. Sectors usin	Figure 1.13. Insolvencies are slow and recovery rates low	84
Figure 1.16. Minimum wages are high in international comparison.  Figure 1.17. Skill gaps are significant.  90.  Figure 1.18. Many firms struggle to fill jobs.  90.  Figure 1.19. The state of Ceará has made substantial progress in education quality.  92.  Figure 1.20. The share of students in vocational and technical programmes is low.  93.  Figure 1.21. Investment in infrastructure is low.  97.  Figure 1.22. Density of paved road network by country.  98.  Figure 1.23. Mobile telecom services are relatively expensive.  103.  Figure 1.24. Real lending rates are extremely high.  104.  Figure 1.25. Investment has been inversely correlated with ex-ante real interest rates.  104.  Figure 1.26. BNDES disbursements and credit subsidies remain high.  105.  Figure 1.27. Infrastructure finance is dominated by public banks, in particular BNDES.  107.  Figure 2.1. Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined.  123.  Figure 2.2. Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal.  124.  Figure 2.3. Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains.  125.  Figure 2.4. Prices are relatively high.  126.  Figure 2.5. The share of imported inputs is low.  127.  Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen.  128.  Figure 2.9. China is Brazil's main trading partner.  130.  Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports has diminished.  129.  Figure 2.11. Tariffs barriers are high.  130.  Figure 2.12. Tariff to intermediate and capital products are very high.  131.  Figure 2.13. Sectors with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs.  134.  Figure 2.14. Brazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers.  135.  Figure 2.15. Local content rules are relatively abundant in Brazil is relatively large.  137.  Figure 2.18. Trade facilitation procedures could improve further.  138.  Figure 2.19. Brazil restricts trade in services more than other countries.  140.  Figure 2.21. Extimated responses of value added by sector to changes in trade protection.  144.  Figure 2.21	Figure 1.14. Hours required to prepare taxes	85
Figure 1.17. Skill gaps are significant  Figure 1.18. Many firms struggle to fill jobs  Figure 1.19. The state of Ceará has made substantial progress in education quality  92. Figure 1.20. The share of students in vocational and technical programmes is low  93. Figure 1.21. Investment in infrastructure is low.  97. Figure 1.22. Density of paved road network by country  98. Figure 1.23. Mobile telecom services are relatively expensive.  103. Figure 1.24. Real lending rates are extremely high  104. Figure 1.25. Investment has been inversely correlated with ex-ante real interest rates  104. Figure 1.26. BNDES disbursements and credit subsidies remain high  105. Figure 1.27. Infrastructure finance is dominated by public banks, in particular BNDES  107. Figure 2.1. Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined  123. Figure 2.2. Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal  124. Figure 2.3. Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains  125. Figure 2.4. Prices are relatively high  126. Expost diversification has fallen  127. Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen  128. Figure 2.7. The share of processed agriculture and good exports has diminished  129. Figure 2.8. Brazil's participation in food GVCs is small  130. Figure 2.9. China is Brazil's main trading partner  131. Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years  131. Figure 2.11. Tariffs barriers are high  132. Figure 2.12. Tariffs to intermediate and capital products are very high  134. Figure 2.11. Tariffs to a relatively abundant in Brazil  136. Figure 2.12. Tariffs to intermediate and capital products are very high  137. Figure 2.12. Tariffs to intermediate and capital products are very high  138. Figure 2.11. Trace ost to export is high.  139. Figure 2.12. Tariffs to intermediate and capital products are very high  130. Figure 2.13. Sectors with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs  138. Figure 2.19. Brazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers  1	Figure 1.15. Unit labour costs have risen	88
Figure 1.18. Many firms struggle to fill jobs.  Figure 1.19. The state of Ceará has made substantial progress in education quality 92 Figure 1.20. The share of students in vocational and technical programmes is low 93 Figure 1.21. Investment in infrastructure is low. 97 Figure 1.22. Density of paved road network by country 98 Figure 1.23. Mobile telecom services are relatively expensive. 103 Figure 1.24. Real lending rates are extremely high 104 Figure 1.25. Investment has been inversely correlated with ex-ante real interest rates 104 Figure 1.26. BNDES disbursements and credit subsidies remain high 105 Figure 1.27. Infrastructure finance is dominated by public banks, in particular BNDES 107 Figure 2.1 Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined. 123 Figure 2.2 Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal. 124 Figure 2.3 Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains 125 Figure 2.4. Prices are relatively high. 126 Figure 2.5. The share of imported inputs is low. 127 Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen 128 Figure 2.7. The share of processed agriculture and good exports has diminished 129 Figure 2.8. Brazil's participation in food GVCs is small 130 Figure 2.9. China is Brazil's main trading partner 131 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years 131 Figure 2.11. Tariffs barriers are high. 132 Figure 2.12. Tariff to intermediate and capital products are very high 134 Figure 2.13. Sectors with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs. 134 Figure 2.14. Brazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers 131 Figure 2.15. Local content rules are relatively abundant in Brazil is relatively large 137 Figure 2.16. The number of antidumping measures in effect in Brazil is relatively large 137 Figure 2.17. The cost to export is high 138 Figure 2.18. Trade facilitation procedures could improve further 138 Figure 2.19. Brazil restricts trade in services more than other countries 140 Figure 2.20. Sectors using more impo	Figure 1.16. Minimum wages are high in international comparison	89
Figure 1.9. The state of Ceará has made substantial progress in education quality 92 Figure 1.20. The share of students in vocational and technical programmes is low 93 Figure 1.21. Investment in infrastructure is low 97 Figure 1.22. Density of paved road network by country 98 Figure 1.23. Mobile telecom services are relatively expensive 103 Figure 1.24. Real lending rates are extremely high 104 Figure 1.25. Investment has been inversely correlated with ex-ante real interest rates 104 Figure 1.26. BNDES disbursements and credit subsidies remain high 105 Figure 1.27. Infrastructure finance is dominated by public banks, in particular BNDES 107 Figure 2.1 Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined 123 Figure 2.2. Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal 124 Figure 2.3. Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains 125 Figure 2.4. Prices are relatively high 126 Figure 2.5. The share of imported inputs is low 127 Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen 128 Figure 2.7. The share of processed agriculture and good exports has diminished 129 Figure 2.8. Brazil's participation in food GVCs is small 130 Figure 2.9. China is Brazil's main trading partner 131 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years 131 Figure 2.11. Tariffs barriers are high 134 Figure 2.12. Tariff to intermediate and capital products are very high 134 Figure 2.13. Sectors with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs 134 Figure 2.14. Brazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers 135 Figure 2.15. Local content rules are relatively abundant in Brazil is relatively large 137 Figure 2.16. The number of antidumping measures in effect in Brazil is relatively large 137 Figure 2.17. The cost to export is high 136 Figure 2.18. Trade facilitation procedures could improve further 138 Figure 2.19. Brazil restricts trade in services more than other countries 140 Figure 2.20. Sectors using more imported inputs will benefit more from tariff cuts 142 Figu	Figure 1.17. Skill gaps are significant	90
Figure 1.9. The state of Ceará has made substantial progress in education quality 92 Figure 1.20. The share of students in vocational and technical programmes is low 93 Figure 1.21. Investment in infrastructure is low 97 Figure 1.22. Density of paved road network by country 98 Figure 1.23. Mobile telecom services are relatively expensive 103 Figure 1.24. Real lending rates are extremely high 104 Figure 1.25. Investment has been inversely correlated with ex-ante real interest rates 104 Figure 1.26. BNDES disbursements and credit subsidies remain high 105 Figure 1.27. Infrastructure finance is dominated by public banks, in particular BNDES 107 Figure 2.1 Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined 123 Figure 2.2. Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal 124 Figure 2.3. Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains 125 Figure 2.4. Prices are relatively high 126 Figure 2.5. The share of imported inputs is low 127 Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen 128 Figure 2.7. The share of processed agriculture and good exports has diminished 129 Figure 2.8. Brazil's participation in food GVCs is small 130 Figure 2.9. China is Brazil's main trading partner 131 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years 131 Figure 2.11. Tariffs barriers are high 134 Figure 2.12. Tariff to intermediate and capital products are very high 134 Figure 2.13. Sectors with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs 134 Figure 2.14. Brazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers 135 Figure 2.15. Local content rules are relatively abundant in Brazil is relatively large 137 Figure 2.16. The number of antidumping measures in effect in Brazil is relatively large 137 Figure 2.17. The cost to export is high 136 Figure 2.18. Trade facilitation procedures could improve further 138 Figure 2.19. Brazil restricts trade in services more than other countries 140 Figure 2.20. Sectors using more imported inputs will benefit more from tariff cuts 142 Figu	Figure 1.18. Many firms struggle to fill jobs	90
Figure 1.21. Investment in infrastructure is low		
Figure 1.22. Density of paved road network by country Figure 1.23. Mobile telecom services are relatively expensive		
Figure 1.23. Mobile telecom services are relatively expensive		
Figure 1.24. Real lending rates are extremely high	Figure 1.22. Density of paved road network by country	98
Figure 1.25. Investment has been inversely correlated with ex-ante real interest rates  104 Figure 1.26. BNDES disbursements and credit subsidies remain high. 105 Figure 1.27. Infrastructure finance is dominated by public banks, in particular BNDES 107 Figure 2.1. Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined 123 Figure 2.2. Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal 124 Figure 2.3. Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains 125 Figure 2.4. Prices are relatively high. 126 Figure 2.5. The share of imported inputs is low. 127 Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen 128 Figure 2.7. The share of processed agriculture and good exports has diminished 129 Figure 2.8. Brazil's participation in food GVCs is small 130 Figure 2.9. China is Brazil's main trading partner 131 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years 131 Figure 2.11. Tariffs barriers are high 132 Figure 2.12. Tariff to intermediate and capital products are very high 134 Figure 2.13. Sectors with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs 134 Figure 2.14. Brazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers 135 Figure 2.15. Local content rules are relatively abundant in Brazil is relatively large 137 Figure 2.16. The number of antidumping measures in effect in Brazil is relatively large 137 Figure 2.19. Brazil restricts trade in services more than other countries 138 Figure 2.20. Sectors using more imported inputs will benefit more from tariff cuts 142 Figure 2.21. Estimated responses of value added by sector to changes in trade protection 144 Figure 2.22. The share of tertiary graduates is relatively low 146 Figure 2.23. Reducing tariffs would benefit especially low-income households 148 Figure 2.24. Spending on active labour market is very concentrated in subsidies 152 Figure 2.25. The are large differences in tariff protection across states 154	Figure 1.23. Mobile telecom services are relatively expensive	103
Figure 1.25. Investment has been inversely correlated with ex-ante real interest rates  104 Figure 1.26. BNDES disbursements and credit subsidies remain high. 105 Figure 1.27. Infrastructure finance is dominated by public banks, in particular BNDES 107 Figure 2.1. Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined 123 Figure 2.2. Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal 124 Figure 2.3. Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains 125 Figure 2.4. Prices are relatively high. 126 Figure 2.5. The share of imported inputs is low. 127 Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen 128 Figure 2.7. The share of processed agriculture and good exports has diminished 129 Figure 2.8. Brazil's participation in food GVCs is small 130 Figure 2.9. China is Brazil's main trading partner 131 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years 131 Figure 2.11. Tariffs barriers are high 132 Figure 2.12. Tariff to intermediate and capital products are very high 134 Figure 2.13. Sectors with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs 134 Figure 2.14. Brazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers 135 Figure 2.15. Local content rules are relatively abundant in Brazil is relatively large 137 Figure 2.16. The number of antidumping measures in effect in Brazil is relatively large 137 Figure 2.19. Brazil restricts trade in services more than other countries 138 Figure 2.20. Sectors using more imported inputs will benefit more from tariff cuts 142 Figure 2.21. Estimated responses of value added by sector to changes in trade protection 144 Figure 2.22. The share of tertiary graduates is relatively low 146 Figure 2.23. Reducing tariffs would benefit especially low-income households 148 Figure 2.24. Spending on active labour market is very concentrated in subsidies 152 Figure 2.25. The are large differences in tariff protection across states 154	2 .	
Figure 2.1. Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined		
Figure 2.1. Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined	Figure 1.26. BNDES disbursements and credit subsidies remain high	105
Figure 2.1. Exposure to trade is low and export performance has declined  Figure 2.2. Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal.  124 Figure 2.3. Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains.  125 Figure 2.4. Prices are relatively high.  126 Figure 2.5. The share of imported inputs is low.  127 Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen.  128 Figure 2.7. The share of processed agriculture and good exports has diminished.  129 Figure 2.8. Brazil's participation in food GVCs is small.  Figure 2.9. China is Brazil's main trading partner.  131 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years.  131 Figure 2.11. Tariffs barriers are high.  Figure 2.12. Tariff to intermediate and capital products are very high.  134 Figure 2.13. Sectors with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs.  135 Figure 2.14. Brazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers.  136 Figure 2.15. Local content rules are relatively abundant in Brazil.  137 Figure 2.16. The number of antidumping measures in effect in Brazil is relatively large.  137 Figure 2.19. Brazil restricts trade in services more than other countries.  138 Figure 2.19. Brazil restricts trade in services more than other countries.  139 Figure 2.20. Sectors using more imported inputs will benefit more from tariff cuts.  140 Figure 2.21. Estimated responses of value added by sector to changes in trade protection.  141 Figure 2.22. The share of tertiary graduates is relatively low.  142 Figure 2.23. Reducing tariffs would benefit especially low-income households.  148 Figure 2.24. Spending on active labour market is very concentrated in subsidies.  159 Figure 2.25. Vocational education is not well developed.  153 Figure 2.26. There are large differences in tariff protection across states.		
Figure 2.2. Brazil integration in global value chains is minimal		
Figure 2.3. Brazil has remained on the side lines of global value chains		
Figure 2.4. Prices are relatively high		
Figure 2.5. The share of imported inputs is low		
Figure 2.6. Export diversification has fallen		
Figure 2.7. The share of processed agriculture and good exports has diminished 129 Figure 2.8. Brazil's participation in food GVCs is small 130 Figure 2.9. China is Brazil's main trading partner 131 Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years 131 Figure 2.11. Tariffs barriers are high 132 Figure 2.12. Tariff to intermediate and capital products are very high 134 Figure 2.13. Sectors with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs 134 Figure 2.14. Brazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers 135 Figure 2.15. Local content rules are relatively abundant in Brazil 136 Figure 2.16. The number of antidumping measures in effect in Brazil is relatively large 137 Figure 2.17. The cost to export is high 138 Figure 2.18. Trade facilitation procedures could improve further 138 Figure 2.19. Brazil restricts trade in services more than other countries 140 Figure 2.20. Sectors using more imported inputs will benefit more from tariff cuts 142 Figure 2.21. Estimated responses of value added by sector to changes in trade protection 144 Figure 2.22. The share of tertiary graduates is relatively low 146 Figure 2.23. Reducing tariffs would benefit especially low-income households 148 Figure 2.24. Spending on active labour market is very concentrated in subsidies 152 Figure 2.25. Vocational education is not well developed 153 Figure 2.26. There are large differences in tariff protection across states 154	•	
Figure 2.8. Brazil's participation in food GVCs is small		
Figure 2.9. China is Brazil's main trading partner		
Figure 2.10. Brazil has not gained new markets for its exports in recent years		
Figure 2.11. Tariffs barriers are high		
Figure 2.12. Tariff to intermediate and capital products are very high 134 Figure 2.13. Sectors with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs 134 Figure 2.14. Brazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers 135 Figure 2.15. Local content rules are relatively abundant in Brazil 136 Figure 2.16. The number of antidumping measures in effect in Brazil is relatively large 137 Figure 2.17. The cost to export is high 138 Figure 2.18. Trade facilitation procedures could improve further 138 Figure 2.19. Brazil restricts trade in services more than other countries 140 Figure 2.20. Sectors using more imported inputs will benefit more from tariff cuts 142 Figure 2.21. Estimated responses of value added by sector to changes in trade protection 144 Figure 2.22. The share of tertiary graduates is relatively low 146 Figure 2.23. Reducing tariffs would benefit especially low-income households 148 Figure 2.24. Spending on active labour market is very concentrated in subsidies 152 Figure 2.25. Vocational education is not well developed 153 Figure 2.26. There are large differences in tariff protection across states 154		
Figure 2.13. Sectors with high tariffs are also hampered by high tariffs on their inputs.  134 Figure 2.14. Brazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers.  135 Figure 2.15. Local content rules are relatively abundant in Brazil.  136 Figure 2.16. The number of antidumping measures in effect in Brazil is relatively large.  137 Figure 2.17. The cost to export is high.  138 Figure 2.18. Trade facilitation procedures could improve further.  138 Figure 2.19. Brazil restricts trade in services more than other countries.  140 Figure 2.20. Sectors using more imported inputs will benefit more from tariff cuts.  142 Figure 2.21. Estimated responses of value added by sector to changes in trade protection.  144 Figure 2.22. The share of tertiary graduates is relatively low.  146 Figure 2.23. Reducing tariffs would benefit especially low-income households.  148 Figure 2.24. Spending on active labour market is very concentrated in subsidies.  152 Figure 2.25. Vocational education is not well developed.  153 Figure 2.26. There are large differences in tariff protection across states.  154		
Figure 2.14. Brazil makes a large use of non-tariff trade barriers		
Figure 2.15. Local content rules are relatively abundant in Brazil		
Figure 2.17. The cost to export is high	Figure 2.15. Local content rules are relatively abundant in Brazil	136
Figure 2.17. The cost to export is high		
Figure 2.18. Trade facilitation procedures could improve further		
Figure 2.19. Brazil restricts trade in services more than other countries		
Figure 2.20. Sectors using more imported inputs will benefit more from tariff cuts		
Figure 2.21. Estimated responses of value added by sector to changes in trade protection		
Figure 2.22. The share of tertiary graduates is relatively low		
Figure 2.23. Reducing tariffs would benefit especially low-income households       148         Figure 2.24. Spending on active labour market is very concentrated in subsidies       152         Figure 2.25. Vocational education is not well developed       153         Figure 2.26. There are large differences in tariff protection across states       154		
Figure 2.24. Spending on active labour market is very concentrated in subsidies	Figure 2.23. Reducing tariffs would benefit especially low-income households	148
Figure 2.25. Vocational education is not well developed	Figure 2.24. Spending on active labour market is very concentrated in subsidies	152
Figure 2.26. There are large differences in tariff protection across states		

#### **Boxes**

Box 1. A glance at Brazil's recent economic history	16
Box 2. Recent and ongoing reform initiatives	18
Box 3. Recent corruption investigations	43
Box 1.1. Identifying constraints to productivity growth using firm-level data	81
Box 1.2. The power of incentives in education policies: Lessons from the state of Ceará	92
Box 1.3. A few successful examples in the area of infrastructure finance	109
Box 1.4. Summary of policy recommendations for raising investment	112
Box 2.1. Building on Brazil's success in agriculture and food	129
Box 2.2. A tale of two industries – automobiles and aerospace	133
Box 2.3. Quantifying the effects at sectoral level of a cut in trade tariffs	144
Box 2.4. Successful examples of regional policies to foster structural transformation	156
Box 2.5. Recommendations to foster integration into the world economy	158

# OECD ECONOMIC SURVEYS CHILE

## ISSN 0376-6438, e-ISSN 1609-7513 February 2018

### Consulta en línea desde MEYSS

,	
Executive summary	9
Growth is bottoming out after a long adjustment to lower copper prices	10
Assessment and recommendations	13
The economy has been resilient to the commodity shock  New sources for broader-based growth are needed over the longer term  Enhancing access to high-quality jobs  Strengthening productivity growth and export performance  References.  Annex Progress in structural reforms	30 42 51 66
Thematic chapters	73
Chapter 1. Boosting Export Performance in Chile	75
A snapshot of the structure of exports  Reinvigorating export performance through productivity gains  Easing international trade procedures and lowering input costs for exporters  Ensuring a fair sharing of trade gains and adjustment costs  References.  Chapter 2. Policies for More and Better Jobs in Chile  The Chilean labour market faces several challenges  Labour market policies to enhance job quality  Improving relevance of education is key to raise the supply of quality workers  References.	91 . 108 . 119 . 124 . <b>131</b> . 134 . 142
Tables	10,
Table 1. Macroeconomic indicators and projections	23 23
Table 5. Potential impact of structural reforms on GDP per capita after 10 years.  Table 6. Type of reforms used in the simulations.  Table 7. Illustrative long-term fiscal effects of some OECD recommendations.  Table 1.1. Structure of exports by sectors.  Table 1.2. Basic characteristics of firms by export status and sectors, 2015.	34 34 42 85
Table 2.1. Access to quality jobs is difficult for young, women and the low-skilled	

Table 2.2. Quarterly labour market transitions in Chile	
Table 2.3. Faces of informality in Chile, year 2015	
Table 2.4. Main training programmes coordinated by SENCE	151
F!	
Figures	
Figure 1. Incomes have risen and well-being is high in many dimensions	14
Figure 2. Growth has been resilient but productivity and exports' technological content are low	
Figure 3. The adjustment to the decline of copper price is easing	17
Figure 4. The labour market has been resilient	18
Figure 5. Inflation has moderated	
Figure 6. Exports and business investment are lagging	20
Figure 7. External imbalances are contained	21
Figure 8. Exports remain highly specialised, 2015-16	22
Figure 9. Central government fiscal balance	
Figure 10. Illustrative public debt paths	
Figure 11. Monetary policy has become more accommodative	28
Figure 12. Financial developments have been mixed	
Figure 13. The financial sector remains stable	31
Figure 14. Poverty has declined substantially but remains high	33
Figure 15. Regional disparities in poverty rates are high	37
Figure 16. Low cash transfers to the working-age population hamper redistribution	38
Figure 17. Pensions remain low and unequal	
Figure 18. Personal income tax structure	40
Figure 19. Property taxes are low and support for homeownership is high	41
Figure 20. A high share of the population holds precarious jobs	43
Figure 21. Formal employment protection legislation appears to be restrictive overall	44
Figure 22. Training programmes are badly targeted	46
Figure 23. Gender gaps remain large	47
Figure 24. Education attainment has progressed over the recent years	
Figure 25. School results have improved, not equity	
Figure 26. Students in VET tend to come from a more disadvantaged background	49
Figure 27. The rapid increase in tertiary education enrolment has created quality challenges	
Figure 28. Exports and productivity have stalled	
Figure 29. Manufacturing investment is weak and allocative efficiency has declined	
Figure 30. Chile's trade integration is highly concentrated	
Figure 31. Foreign direct investments are high	
Figure 32. Some business regulations remain complex	
Figure 33. Service trade barriers remain important in some key sectors, 2017	
Figure 34. There is scope to raise trade facilitation in some areas, 2017	
Figure 35. R&D spending and innovation are low	
Figure 36. SME loans remain relatively costly	
Figure 37. Venture capital investment could increase, 2015	
Figure 38. The perceived quality of infrastructure remains weak	
Figure 39. Green growth indicators: Chile	
Figure 1.1. Exports and productivity have stalled	
Figure 1.2. There is room to raise exports and their sophistication	
Figure 1.3. Development in copper prices and cost competitiveness	
Figure 1.4. Investment has weakened	80

Figure 1.5. Exports remain highly specialised, 2015-16	82
Figure 1.6. Exports diversification has been limited	
Figure 1.7. Services exports remain relatively low	
Figure 1.8. Chile is positioned upstream in global value chains, 2014	
Figure 1.9. Market potential and trade costs	
Figure 1.10. A few firms concentrate most exports	
Figure 1.11. Exports and productivity in the manufacturing sector	
Figure 1.12. Regulatory complexity remains relatively high	93
Figure 1.13. Service trade barriers remain important in some key sectors, 2017	94
Figure 1.14. Regulatory impact assessment and engagement of stakeholders, 2016	
Figure 1.15. Perceived competitive pressures are low	
Figure 1.16. Regulatory management practices could improve, 2013	
Figure 1.17. Developments in firm financing have been mixed	
Figure 1.18. Public support for SME loans and development of alternative financing instruments	
Figure 1.19. Management practices are unequal in the manufacturing sector	
Figure 1.20. R&D spending and skills are low	
Figure 1.21. Public support for innovation has increased	
Figure 1.22. Bankruptcy procedures remain long	
Figure 1.23. Tariffs are low but further improvements are possible	
Figure 1.24. There is scope to raise trade facilitation in some areas, 2017	
Figure 1.25. Some infrastructure gaps remain	. 112
Figure 1.26. Port interconnections and maritime transport are perfectible	
Figure 1.27. Land planning should be strengthened	
Figure 1.28. Electricity prices for firms remain relatively high	
Figure 1.29. Internet development, price and regulations	
Figure 1.30. Regional developments at the end of the commodity price boom	
Figure 1.31. The rental sector is small while taxes on property are low	
Figure 2.1. Progress in employment growth and wages has been impressive	
Figure 2.2. Job quality is low compared to other OECD countries	
Figure 2.3. Temporary and informal jobs account for a large share of employment	
Figure 2.4. Higher skills are needed to enhance job quality	
Figure 2.5. There is a large scope to make the skill allocation more efficient	
Figure 2.6. A high share of the population faces labour market difficulties	
Figure 2.7. Employment barriers in Chile	
Figure 2.8. Employment protection of regular contracts is restrictive	
Figure 2.9. Foreign-born have higher chances of being informal and overqualified workers	
Figure 2.10. The coverage of collective bargaining in Chile is well below the OECD average	
Figure 2.11. Training programs are badly targeted	
Figure 2.12. Gender gaps remain sizable	
Figure 2.13. Education has progressed over the recent years	
Figure 2.14. School results have improved, not equity	
Figure 2.15. Students in VET tend to come from a more disadvantaged background	
Figure 2.16. Employment and earnings prospects for tertiary educated are large in Chile	
Figure 2.17. Quality challenges arised from the rapid increase in tertiary education enrolment	
Figure 2.18. Participation and outcomes of the apprenticeship system in Chile are low	. 104

#### Boxes

D. 2 G 14	32
Box 2. Copper and the economy	
Box 3. Illustrative simulations of the potential impact of structural reforms	
Box 4. The 2017 draft pension bill	36
Box 5. Estimated long-term fiscal effects of some key OECD recommendations	
Box 1.1. Recent measures to improve productivity and exports	81
Box 1.2. The development agency, CORFO	102
Box 1.3. Depth and characteristics of Chile's preferential trade agreements	
Box 2.1. The ins and outs of employment in Chile	138
Box 2.2. Groups experiencing labour market difficulties and employment barriers	
Box 2.3. Enhancing immigrants job quality	
Box 2.4. Financing the apprenticeship system using levies	

## OECD ECONOMIC SURVEYS FINLAND

## ISSN 0376-6438, e-ISSN 1609-7513 February 2018

### Consulta en línea desde MEYSS

Basic statistics of Finland	8 9
A strong revival in exports is boosting growth.  Strong economic performance and low inequality foster well-being.  Environmental achievements and ambitions are high.  Structural vulnerabilities remain in the financial sector.  Public finances are under pressure from an ageing population.  Reforms to the tax system would enhance growth.  Work incentives need to be strengthened, while maintaining strong social protection.  Finding direction for benefit reform.  Reform priorities within the current system.  Bibliography.	13 14 22 26 28 30 32 39 43 46 51
Annex. Progress in structural reform.	55
Thematic chapters	
Chapter 1. Tax reform to support growth and employment  The public finances are currently healthy but face mounting challenges.  Redistribution lowers income inequality  Promoting output and employment growth  Encouraging greener growth  Recommendations on taxation  Bibliography.	59 60 65 67 77 80
Chapter 2. Benefit reform for employment and equal opportunity  The case for benefit reform.  Finding direction: benefit reform scenarios  Towards robust work incentives and protection for all.  Summary and recommendations.  Recommendations to reform working-age benefits  Bibliography.	85 86 93 109 119 119

3.	The Finnish basic income trial	45
1.1.	Tackling tax avoidance: The OECD/G20 BEPS project	76
2.1.	Interpreting common measures of work incentives	94
2.2.	Universal credit in the United Kingdom	101
2.3.	Examples of controlled experiments in activation policies	117
Tables		
1.	Macroeconomic indicators and projections	19
	Possible shocks and their economic impact	21
	Past recommendations on productivity-enhancing reform	22
	Past recommendations to promote gender equality	24
	Past recommendations on environmental sustainability	28
	Past recommendations on financial stability	30
	Impact of budget measures	31
	Past recommendations on fiscal policy	32
	Past recommendations on health care	32
	Past recommendations on tax reform	39
	Reform could reduce average effective tax rates	44
	Past recommendations on labour market reform	51
	Breakdown of government expenditure and revenue	64
	Opportunities and challenges associated with non-standard work	92
	Main working-age benefits and income taxation	96
	Comparative average effective tax rates, income-related unemployment	
	insurance.	104
2.4.	Comparative average effective tax rates, social assistance and housing	
	benefit	105
Figures		
1.	Real GDP growth is gathering momentum	14
	GDP per capita and employment rate are below the other Nordics	
	GDP per capita gaps reflect both productivity and labour utilisation	
	differences.	15
4.	Unemployment remains high	20
	Main export sectors and destinations.	21
	Productivity needs a boost	22
	Finns enjoy a high quality of life	23
	Income inequality is low and stable	24
	Gender inequalities persist.	25
	Environmental performance is strong	27
	The banking system shows some vulnerabilities (2017 Q3 or latest)	29
	Household debt is moderate and housing prices are broadly stable	30
	The government deficit persists but debt is contained	31
	Health reform and higher employment would help stabilise debt	32
	Ageing is increasingly weighing on public finances	33
	The tax burden is among the highest in the OECD	34
	Social spending is not as high in international comparison when private	
	social spending and taxation of benefit income are taken into account	34

18.	Tax revenue from recurrent taxes on immovable property is still relatively low	35
19.	VAT rates are high but efficiency is slightly below average	36
20.	Corporate tax rate and revenue are low	37
21.	Corporate tax revenue has so far held up relatively well despite sharp	
	tax rate cuts	38
22.	The labour market has been hard hit by crises	39
	Compared to other Nordics, employment is low across gender and age	40
	The tax wedge on labour remains high	41
	Work does not always pay	42
	The world of work is changing	43
	A basic income would alter the income distribution	45
28.	Net income and work incentives in the current system	46
	Exit rates spike immediately before unemployment benefit expiry	47
	Reforms to child-related fees and benefits would improve work incentives	
	for second earners	48
31.	Shortening the unemployment tunnel increases employment substantially	49
	Rising unemployment and budget cuts have put the employment service	
	under strain	50
1.1.	The tax burden is among the highest in the OECD	61
	Social spending is not as high in international comparison when private	
	social spending and taxation of benefit income are taken into account	62
1.3.	The government deficit is modest but debt has risen markedly	63
	Government revenue has failed to keep up with spending	
	over the past decade	63
1.5.	Government gross debt remains relatively low and assets are large	64
	Ageing is increasingly weighing on the public finances	65
	Redistribution lowers income inequality	66
	The tax wedge on labour remains high	68
	The combined top marginal rate of personal income tax and employee	
	social security contributions is high	69
1.10.	Tax revenue from recurrent taxes on immovable property is still	
	relatively low	70
1.11.	The earnings premium from tertiary education is higher than	
	in the other Nordics	70
1.12.	VAT rates are high but efficiency slightly below average	72
	Corporate tax rate and revenue are low	74
	Corporate tax revenue has so far held up relatively well despite sharp	
	tax rate cuts	75
1.15.	Environmentally related tax revenue is high compared to other	
	OECD countries.	79
1.16.	Diesel is lightly taxed compared to gasoline	79
	The labour market has been hard hit by crises	87
	Employment is low compared to other Nordics across gender and age	89
	High unemployment traps and inactivity traps in Finland	90
	The world of work is changing	92
	Net income and work incentives in the current benefit system	95
	Work does not always pay	95

2.7.	Net income and work incentives in the current system	98
2.8.	Existing cash support is targeted towards the poor in Finland	99
2.9.	Net income and work incentives in the basic income scenario	100
2.10.	Net income and work incentives in the universal credit scenario	103
2.11.	A basic income would reduce incomes in the bottom of the distribution $\ldots$	106
2.12.	A basic income scenario would alter the income distribution	107
2.13.	Net household income in the different scenarios	108
2.14.	Exit rates spike immediately before unemployment benefit expiry	111
2.15.	Employment rate by skills and age	112
2.16.	Improving incentives for second earners	113
2.17.	Shortening the unemployment tunnel increases employment substantially	114
2.18.	Rising unemployment and budget cuts have put the employment	
	service under strain	116