OECD ECONOMIC SURVEYS LATVIA

ISSN 0376-6438, e-ISSN 1609-7513 May 2019

Consulta en línea desde Intranet

Basic Statistics of Latvia, 2018	8
Executive summary	9
Key policy insights	. 15
Growth is strong, but inequality remains high, and ageing is a challenge	. 15
Macroeconomic policies are sound	
The short-term economic outlook is strong	
Credit growth is low and financial market is stable	
Tax and spending reform for a stronger and more inclusive economy	
The budgetary framework is sound	
Making taxing and spending more inclusive	
Improving fiscal equalisation and the quality of local public services	
Addressing skill shortages	
Providing high-quality education to all	37
Providing adequate skills	
Making Latvia more attractive for foreign and domestic workers alike	
Fighting informality to improve productivity and access to social services	
Improving access to social services	
Improving access to social services	
Improving healthcare services	
Improving nearthcare services	
Regional disparities are high and environmental outcomes should improve	
Improving access to affordable housing, its quality and energy efficiency	
Better integrated urban and transport policies are needed	
Building better transport and energy infrastructure	
References	. 54
Annex. Progress in structural reform	. 59
Thematic chapter	. 63
1. Policies for stronger productivity growth	. 65
Productivity growth is held back by low innovation and inefficient resource allocation	. 66
Fighting informality	
Engaging social partners to fight informality	. 74
Adequate tax reforms can reduce informality	
Improving the allocation of capital	
Access to finance remains tight for small young firms	
Building a transparent and efficient insolvency regime	
Diversifying credit supply	
Enhancing competition	
Competition can be strengthened in some sectors	
Ensuring fair competition between state-owned and private enterprises	
Bolstering competition law enforcement	
Strengthening skills	
Engaging more firms in vocational education and training.	
Making tertiary education more responsive to labour market needs	
0/	

Improving the skills of the adult population	92
Active labour market policies should receive more stable funding	94
Strengthening innovation and knowledge transfer	95
Latvia's innovation performance is weak	95
Enhancing knowledge transfer	98
Using EU funds more effectively to promote productivity	100
Ensuring the continuity of the most effective EU-funded policy measures	100
Establishing an effective productivity board	102
References	106
Tables	
	20
Table 1. Illustrative GDP-per-capita impact of recommended reforms	
Table 2. Macroeconomic indicators and projections	
Table 3. Potential vulnerabilities of the Latvian economy	
Table 4. Past OECD recommendations on taxation and spending	
Table 5. Illustrative fiscal impact of recommended reforms	
Table 6. The strength of equalisation in OECD countries	
Table 7. Past OECD recommendations on education and labour market policies	
Table 8. Past OECD recommendations on informality	
Table 9. Past OECD recommendations on healthcare and pension services	
Table 10. Past OECD recommendations on transport	
Table 1.1. Resources allocated to the competition authority are low	86
Figures	
Figure 1. GDP growth is strong and income convergence continues	15
Figure 2. The working age population is shrinking fast	
Figure 3. Emigrants are relatively less skilled	
Figure 4. Poverty is high	
Figure 5. Latvia lags behind in some dimensions of well-being	
Figure 6. Investment and consumption contribute to GDP growth	
Figure 7. Unemployment is falling and vacancies are rising	
Figure 8. Wage growth is strong, but inflation remains stable	23
Figure 9. Unit labour costs are rising, but profitability remains strong	
Figure 10. Latvia has diversified its trading partners	24
Figure 11. Exports have become more sophisticated	
Figure 12. Credit growth remains weak	26
Figure 13. Corruption indicators	
Figure 14. House prices are rising in line with income growth	27
Figure 15. Non-bank loans are rising fast, as many households have problems re-paying debt	28
Figure 16. The share of foreign deposits in the Latvian banking sector is falling	29
Figure 17. Illustrative public debt paths	
Figure 18. Tax revenues are relatively low as a share of GDP	
Figure 19. The tax-and-benefit system could do more to lower high inequality	32
Figure 20. Taxation of labour income will decrease for some households	32
Figure 21. Regional disparities are large	35
Figure 22. Average size of municipalities is small	
Figure 23. Informality is widespread	40

Figure 24. Trust in national institutions is low	41
Figure 25. The poverty rate for elderly people is high	43
Figure 26. Life expectancy remains low and unequal	
Figure 27. Many Latvians skip doctors' appointments to avoid high out-of-pocket payments	44
Figure 28. The renewable energy share is high and CO2 intensity is relatively low	
Figure 29. Energy intensity and population exposure to pollution need to fall further	
Figure 30. Many families live in substandard housing	
Figure 31. Higher building energy efficiency would bring benefits for well-being	
Figure 32. Commuting by car has increased substantially	
Figure 33. Effective tax rates on CO ₂ are relatively low	
Figure 34. The share of landfill in municipal waste treatment remains high	
Figure 35. Road accident fatalities are high	
Figure 1.1. The labour productivity gap is large	
Figure 1.2. The contribution of capital deepening to labour productivity growth has diminished	
Figure 1.3. Firm-level productivity and efficiency of resource allocation are low	
Figure 1.4. Better resource allocation has contributed to productivity growth	
Figure 1.5. Very unprofitable firms are operating especially in some service sectors	
Figure 1.6. Only the most productive manufacturing firms enjoyed high productivity growth	
Figure 1.7. Latvian firms lag behind in the use of digital technologies	
Figure 1.8. Employment is concentrated in small firms	
Figure 1.9. The productivity gap between large and small firms is wide	72
Figure 1.10. Only a small share of SMEs innovate	
Figure 1.11. Informality is particularly high in the non-manufacturing sectors	
Figure 1.12. The number of firms using the microenterprise tax regime remains large	
Figure 1.13. Access to finance remains an obstacle to investment	
Figure 1.14. A significant share of firms are reluctant to apply for bank loans	
Figure 1.15. Borrowing costs are relatively high	
Figure 1.16. The debt recovery rate is low	
Figure 1.17. The insolvency regime could be more efficient	
Figure 1.18. Venture capital investments remain low	
Figure 1.19. Regulatory settings are competition friendly overall	
Figure 1.20. Mark-ups are relatively high in Latvia	
Figure 1.21. Mark-ups are higher in sectors with many SOEs	
Figure 1.22. The shortage of digital skills is severe	
Figure 1.23. Skill mismatches are large	
Figure 1.24. Relatively few firms are managed by professional managers	
Figure 1.25. Participation in adult learning is low	
Figure 1.26. Participation in Active Labour Market Policies is low	
Figure 1.27. The quality of academic research can be improved	
Figure 1.28. The research workforce is small	
Figure 1.29. International collaboration in research is limited	97
Figure 1.30. There is little collaboration between SMEs and research institutions	98
Figure 1.31. Latvia is one of the largest recipients of EU funds	
- Said 1.9.1 Zait la die di die langua recipiena di 20 Tanas	
Boxes	
Dones	
Box 1. Latvia's emigration challenge	16
Box 2. The new government's key policy priorities	
Box 3. Structural reforms can raise growth and living standards	
Box 4. Quantifying the fiscal impact of structural reforms	
Box 1.1. The role of resource allocation in Latvia's productivity growth	
Box 1.1. Ine role of resource anocation in Latvia's productivity growth	
Box 1.2. Involvement of social partners in vocational education and training	
Box 1.4. The effect of EU funds on the performance of Latvian firms	
DOX 1.4. The effect of DO funds on the performance of Latvidii littlis	102