

OECD ECONOMIC SURVEYS

LITHUANIA

ISSN 0376-6438, e-ISSN 1609-7513

November 2020

[Consulta en línea desde Intranet](#)

Executive summary	9
1 Key policy insights	14
Introduction	15
Recent developments and short-term prospects	17
The financial system appears solid, but the COVID-19 crisis poses challenges	24
Sound fiscal policy created fiscal space to deal with the crisis	28
Structural reform could accelerate the recovery and boost productivity	34
Greening the economy	42
References	44
2 Reducing poverty and social disparities	47
Poverty is high and unevenly distributed	49
Improving the effectiveness of the transfer system in reducing poverty	58
Providing high quality social services for vulnerable groups	65
Reducing educational inequalities	71
Helping labour market integration	73
References	82
3 Fostering regional development	85
Regional disparities are high	86
Fostering skills across the country	88
Investing in sustainable infrastructure	96
Scaling up housing, while reducing urban sprawl	100
Improving multi-level governance	105
References	109
Tables	
Table 1. The economy is projected to rebound	10
Table 1.1. Macroeconomic indicators and projections	20
Table 1.2. Events that could entail major changes to the outlook	20
Table 1.3. Fiscal overview	30
Table 1.4. Illustrative fiscal impact of recommended reforms	33
Table 1.5. Past OECD recommendations on financial and fiscal stability for inclusive growth	34
Table 1.6. Potential impact of structural reforms on per capita GDP	40
Table 1.7. Past OECD recommendations on fostering productivity and inclusiveness	42
Table 2.1. Recommendations to reduce poverty and social disparities	81
Table 3.1. Recommendations to foster regional convergence	109

Figures

Figure 1. Lithuania is converging rapidly	10
Figure 2. Poverty is high	10
Figure 3. Regional inequality is rising	11
Figure 4. Competitiveness is declining	11
Figure 5. State-owned enterprises proliferate	12
Figure 6. School outcomes are weak	12
Figure 7. Control of corruption remains below OECD average	12
Figure 1.1. Lithuania is converging rapidly, and migration flows have turned positive	15
Figure 1.2. High inequality drives low well-being	17
Figure 1.3. The pandemic had a relative mild impact on the economy	18
Figure 1.4. The labour market was strong before the crisis	21
Figure 1.5. Wages grew fast in recent years	22
Figure 1.6. External positions appear sound	23
Figure 1.7. The export structure has changed little over the past decade	24
Figure 1.8. Credit and housing indicators were robust before the crisis	25
Figure 1.9. Performance indicators suggest that the financial system is resilient	26
Figure 1.10. Fiscal policy was pro-cyclical until recently	29
Figure 1.11. Debt would rise to unsustainable levels if growth slows and deficits remain high	30
Figure 1.12. Public investment is relatively low	31
Figure 1.13. Pension adequacy could improve	32
Figure 1.14. Lithuania's regulatory environment is open and business-friendly	35
Figure 1.15. State-owned enterprises weave through the economy	36
Figure 1.16. After thorough reform, Lithuania boasts an effective insolvency framework	37
Figure 1.17. Education performance is weak, although the system is well endowed with teachers	38
Figure 1.18. Innovation performance can be strengthened further	39
Figure 1.19. Some perceive corruption to be considerable	41
Figure 1.20. Lithuania needs to become greener	43
Figure 2.1. Poverty rates remain high	48
Figure 2.2. A large share of population is at risk of poverty and the poverty gap remains large	50
Figure 2.3. Some groups face especially high rates of poverty	51
Figure 2.4. The unemployed and low-educated are at high risk of poverty	52
Figure 2.5. Elderly women are affected most by poverty, explaining much of the gender gap	53
Figure 2.6. People with disabilities are vulnerable to poverty	54
Figure 2.7. Child poverty varies with the household type	55
Figure 2.8. Poverty rates vary according to region and, to a lesser extent, immigrant status	56
Figure 2.9. Many households experience severe material deprivation and energy poverty	57
Figure 2.10. The tax-transfer system could be more effective in reducing poverty	58
Figure 2.11. Social spending is low by international standards	59
Figure 2.12. Cash benefits can be strengthened further	61
Figure 2.13. The pension system is very redistributive but net replacement rates are low	65
Figure 2.14. Access to health care can improve	67
Figure 2.15. Social housing stock remains low and many households face poor housing conditions	70
Figure 2.16. Socio-economic background still counts for educational outcomes	72
Figure 2.17. Labour market outcomes vary considerably across skill-groups and regions	74
Figure 2.18. The tax wedge can be lowered further	74
Figure 2.19. Earnings remain relatively low and informality is still high	75
Figure 2.20. Participation in adult learning remains low	76
Figure 2.21. Larger households have weaker incentives to take up work	78
Figure 2.22. The participation of very young children in ECEC remains low	79
Figure 2.23. Activation programmes can improve further	80
Figure 3.1. Regional disparities are large, reflecting differences in productivity	86
Figure 3.2. Disparities are growing	87
Figure 3.3. Agglomeration economies are at work	87
Figure 3.4. Demand for jobs is higher in more productive regions and for qualified professionals	89
Figure 3.5. In Lithuania, urban-rural gaps in educational achievements are large	89
Figure 3.6. Smaller schools are associated with weaker outcomes and higher cost everywhere	90
Figure 3.7. Educational outcomes in small schools are weaker, while cost is higher	91
Figure 3.8. Vocational education and training, including apprenticeships, is weak	93

Figure 3.9. Firms contribute little to university funding in Lithuania	95
Figure 3.10. The minimum wage has a strong bite in less productive regions	96
Figure 3.11. Transport infrastructure needs improvement	97
Figure 3.12. The digital divide between regions is stark	99
Figure 3.13. House prices are low in Lithuania but vary across regions	101
Figure 3.14. High home ownership rates could create a barrier to labour mobility	101
Figure 3.15. Many apartments need upgrading	102
Figure 3.16. Housing supply seems not to follow demand	104
Figure 3.17. Urban sprawl is increasing, pushing up infrastructure cost	105
Figure 3.18. Lithuania is highly centralised	106
Figure 3.19. Local investment is low and declining	107

Boxes

Box 1.1. The government's key policy initiatives	16
Box 1.2. The government introduced a broad stimulus package in response to the crisis	19
Box 1.3. Financial measures to counter the effects of the crisis	27
Box 1.4. Quantifying fiscal policy recommendations	33
Box 1.5. Quantification of structural reforms	40
Box 2.1. The social protection system: main features	60
Box 2.2. Social measures in response to the COVID-19 crisis	63
Box 2.3. Integrating care for the elderly: some practices based on cross-country experience	68
Box 3.1. Are small schools responsible for low education outcomes? An empirical test	90
Box 3.2. Implementing firm-based learning successfully	94
Box 3.3. Modernising local and regional public passenger transport	98
Box 3.4. How to bridge the digital divide between urban and rural regions?	100
Box 3.5. The Slovak Republic's State Housing Development Fund	103
Box 3.6. Metropolitan governance: current approaches in OECD countries	108